| | Case 2:25-cv-00933-DC-CSK Document | 13 Filed 11/05/25 | Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | ANTHONY RICHARD TURNER, | No. 2:25-cv-0933 De | C CSK P |
| 12 | Petitioner, | | |
| 13 | v. | FINDINGS AND RE | <u>ECOMMENDATIONS</u> |
| 14 | SHERIFF THOMAS A. FERRARA, | | |
| 15 | Respondent. | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | By order filed September 19, 2025, petitioner's first amended petition for writ of habeas | | |
| 18 | corpus was dismissed, and thirty days' leave to file a second amended petition was granted. The | | |
| 19 | thirty day period has now expired, and petitioner has not responded to the Court's order. | | |
| 20 | In recommending this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, the Court has | | |
| 21 | considered "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to | | |
| 22 | manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring | | |
| 23 | disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives." Ferdik v. | | |
| 24 | Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation omitted). Because this case cannot | | |
| 25 | move forward without petitioner's participation, the Court finds the factors weigh in favor of | | |
| 26 | dismissal. | | |
| 27 | In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be | | |
| 28 | dismissed without prejudice. <u>See</u> Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). | | |
| | | 1 | |

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: November 5, 2025

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 /1/turn0933.fta.hab